

REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed on June 27, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 21 - 38. With this Amendment, claim 37 has been amended and claims 21 – 36 have been canceled. The application now includes claims 37 and 38.

OBJECTION TO THE SPECIFICATION

The Examiner objected to the disclosure based on the phrase “FIGS. 1, 2, 3” appearing in the title of a section of the application. With this Amendment, Applicant has amended the disclosure in accordance with the Examiner’s instructions. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objection to the disclosure be withdrawn.

OBJECTION TO THE DRAWINGS

The Examiner objected to the drawings under 37 C.F.R. § 1.83(a). With this Amendment, Applicant submits corrected drawings in accordance with the Examiner’s instructions. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objection to the drawings be withdrawn.

OBJECTION TO THE CLAIMS

The Examiner objected to claim 37 based on various informalities. With this Amendment, Applicant has amended claim 37 in accordance with the Examiner’s instructions. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the objection to claim 37 be withdrawn and that claim 37 be held allowable.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101

The Examiner rejected claims 21 – 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 101 due to the claims positively reciting a “human”. With this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 21 – 36.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112

The Examiner rejected claims 37 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant regards as his invention. With this Amendment, Applicant has amended the application in accordance with the Examiner’s

instructions. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 37 and 38 be withdrawn and that claims 37 and 38 be held allowable.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 21 – 26, 29 – 33, and 36 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by the Maebe patent. With this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 21 – 26, 29 – 33, and 36. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 21 – 26, 29 – 33, and 36 be withdrawn.

REJECTION OF CLAIMS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

In the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 27, 28, 34, and 35 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Maebe patent. With this Amendment, Applicant has canceled claims 27, 28, 34, and 35. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of claims 27, 28, 34, and 35 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it is believed that the present application is in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of claims 37 and 38 are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Matthew C. Gomez
Matthew Leroy Gomez